Empirical evidence for behavioral biases in direct and indirect rebound

Filippos Exadaktylos Currently in Loyola University From September in Universitat de Barcelona Work done in Autonoma Universitat of Barcelona, ICTA

> Joint work with: Jeroen van den Bergh & Ivan Savin



Behavioral assumptions of *homo economicus* Insights from behavioural sciences Behavioural regularities relevant for rebound

Behavioral assumptions of *homo economicus* Insights from behavioural sciences Behavioural regularities relevant for rebound

Unlimited cognitive abilities

Behavioral assumptions of	Insights from	Behavioural regularities	
<i>homo economicus</i>	behavioural sciences	relevant for rebound	
Unlimited cognitive abilities	Limited abilities & time		

Behavioral assumptions of	Insights from	Behavioural regularities
<i>homo economicus</i>	behavioural sciences	relevant for rebound
Unlimited cognitive abilities	Limited abilities & time	Inattention & misconceptions

Behavioral assumptions of <i>homo economicus</i>	Insights from behavioural sciences	Behavioural regularities relevant for rebound
Unlimited cognitive abilities	Limited abilities & time	Inattention & misconceptions
Optimizing behaviour		

Behavioral assumptions of <i>homo economicus</i>	Insights from behavioural sciences	Behavioural regularities relevant for rebound
Unlimited cognitive abilities	Limited abilities & time	Inattention & misconceptions
Optimizing behaviour	Satisfying & heuristics	

Behavioral assumptions of <i>homo economicus</i>	Insights from behavioural sciences	Behavioural regularities relevant for rebound	
Unlimited cognitive abilities	Limited abilities & time	Inattention & misconceptions	
Optimizing behaviour	Satisfying & heuristics	Mental accounting	

Behavioral assumptions of <i>homo economicus</i>	Insights from behavioural sciences	Behavioural regularities relevant for rebound
Unlimited cognitive abilities	Limited abilities & time	Inattention & misconceptions
Optimizing behaviour	Satisfying & heuristics	Mental accounting
Well-defined preferences	"Irrelevant" factors	Defaults and habits

	Behavioral assumptions of <i>homo economicus</i>	Insights from behavioural sciences	Behavioural regularities relevant for rebound
(Bounded) Rationality	Unlimited cognitive abilities	Limited abilities & time	Inattention & misconceptions
	Optimizing behaviour	Satisfying & heuristics	Mental accounting
	Well-defined preferences	"Irrelevant" factors	Defaults and habits

	Behavioral assumptions of <i>homo economicus</i>	Insights from behavioural sciences	Behavioural regularities relevant for rebound
(Bounded) Rationality	Unlimited cognitive abilities Limited abilities & time		Inattention & misconceptions
	Optimizing behaviour	Satisfying & heuristics	Mental accounting
	Well-defined preferences	"Irrelevant" factors	Defaults and habits
(Bounded) Willpower	Unlimited self-control	Limited self-control	Present bias

	Behavioral assumptions of <i>homo economicus</i>	Insights from behavioural sciences	Behavioural regularities relevant for rebound
	Unlimited cognitive abilities	Limited abilities & time	Inattention & misconceptions
(Bounded) Rationality	Optimizing behaviour	Satisfying & heuristics	Mental accounting
	Well-defined preferences	"Irrelevant" factors	Defaults and habits
(Bounded) Willpower	Unlimited self-control	Limited self-control	Present bias
(Bounded)	Self-regarding preferences	Other-regarding preferences	Pro-environmental values & moral licensing
Self-Interest			

	Behavioral assumptions of <i>homo economicus</i>	Insights from behavioural sciences	Behavioural regularities relevant for rebound
	Unlimited cognitive abilities	Limited abilities & time	Inattention & misconceptions
(Bounded) Rationality	Optimizing behaviour Satisfying & heuristics		Mental accounting
Ţ	Well-defined preferences "Irrelevant" factors		Defaults and habits
(Bounded) Willpower	Unlimited self-control	Limited self-control	Present bias
(Bounded)	Self-regarding preferences	Self-regarding preferences Other-regarding preferences	
Self-Interest	Socially isolated agents	Social interactions	Peer influence – Social Norms

A simple model



Literature review results

]	Behavioural regularities	Adoption	Intensity of use	Re-spending	Decreases adoption or
	Inattention & misconceptions				increases intensity of use or increases re-spending
Bounded rationality	Mental accounting				
	Defaults & habits				Uncertain
Bounded willpower	Present bias				
Bounded	Pro-environmental preferences and moral licensing				Increases adoption or
self-interest	Peer influence				decreases intensity of use or decreases re-spending

Effects on rebound-relevant decisions

Empirically measuring rebound (in a car context)

Publicly available information about cars by providing plate numbers:

- <u>yearly technical controls</u> (including millage readings)
- <u>technical information</u> (including fuel efficiency)

Design – Sample – Procedures

- A questionnaire measuring driving habits and behavioral regularities
- Sent to 30,000 UK drivers (Dynata panel company)
- Three conditions
 - Have current car more than 3 years
 - Have older car more than 3 years
 - Accept to provide plate numbers of both cars
- Final sample 3,326

Useful sample (has odometer & fuel efficiency info)



Measures

Inattention / Misconceptions

- 1. What is the fuel efficiency of your new car? (<30, 30-35, 35-40, 40-45, 45-50, 50-55, 55-60, >60 miles per gallon)
- 2. What is the fuel efficiency of your old car?
- 3. How much do you spend monthly on fuel? (accuracy=+/- \pounds 20)
- 4. Do you drive more, the same or less with the new car?

5. Energy literacy

"A 100-watt incandescent light bulb uses 100 units of energy in one hour. How many units of energy do you think each of the following devices typically uses in one hour?"

6. Financial literacy

'Imagine that the interest rate on your savings account was 1% per year and inflation was 2% per year. After 1 year, how much would you be able to buy with the money in this account? : more/same/less"

Measures: controls

1. Sociodemographic

Age, Gender, Income, Education, Family size, Employment status, Location (rural vs urban)

- 2. Use of cars
 - 1. Type of car use: for working, commuting, trips, etc.
 - 2. Frequency of use
 - 3. Miles driven per year
- 3. Cars' characteristics
 - 1. Fuel efficiency of cars
 - 2. Type of cars (small, medium, sports, SUVs)
 - 3. Engine size,

Regressing mpy new – mpy old

Sociodemographics

only working status matters

Results

Car use

The more you drive, the more you 'overdrive'

Car types

The bigger the efficiency difference, the more you 'overdrive'

A = =	-6.86
Age	(20.929)
Fomalo	454.523
remaie	(386.092)
Income	81.382
Income	(86)
Education	-167.853
Education	(147.715)
W/a daine fall an east time	-1507.972**
working full or part time	(668.087)
Detined	-1304.073*
Keured	(718.703)
Luban	1.665
UIDall	(5.002)
Fraguency of using car	585.246**
riequency of using car	(277.07)
Miles per year driven	.253***
(new)	(.055)
Fuel effic: difference	107.273***
new-old	(21.294)
$\mathbf{E} = (1 + \mathbf{C})^{2}$	-81.775***
Fuel efficiency (new)	(21.975)
Two of any (now)	-143.036
Type of car (new)	(209.436)
Type of any (old)	-1277.219***
Type of car (old)	(228.557)
Constant	2727.825
Constant	(2045.965)
Observations	607

mpy (new-old) mpy (new-old)

Fuel eff. (new)

orrouge time ation	2764.532***	2717.149**
overesumation	(-1.007.181)	(-1.072.598)
underection	1514.891***	2485.747***
underestimation	(-534.379)	(-575.824)



Observations 710 607

mpy (new-old) mpy (new-old) mpy (new-old) mpy (new-old)

Fuel eff. (new)

overestimation	2764.532*** (-1.007.181)	2717.149** (-1.072.598)	
underestimation	1514.891*** (-534.379)	2485.747*** (-575.824)	
Fuel eff (old)			

overestimation	-517.553 (-589.226)	-1136.039* (-617.381)
underestimation	-351.331 (-453.117)	352.592 (-490.477)

Observations

710

607

710

607

•

mpy (new-old) mpy (new-old)

Fuel eff. (new)

overestimation	2764.532*** (-1.007.181)	2717.149** (-1.072.598)	
underestimation	(1.007.101) 1514.891*** (-534.379)	(+1.672.356) 2485.747*** (-575.824)	
Fuel eff (old)			

overestimation-517.553
(-589.226)-1136.039*
(-617.381)underestimation-351.331
(-453.117)352.592
(-490.477)

Miles driven

Results

ottorion	5386.719***	4404.031***
overesumation	(-454.043)	-500.419
underestimation	-493.011	-319.356
underesumation	(-336.759)	(-356.538)

Observations

710

607

710

607

705

603

mpy (new-old) mpy (new-old)

-1136.039*

(-617.381)

352.592

(-490.477)

Fuel eff. (new)

ourortimation	2764.532***	2717.149**
overesumation	(-1.007.181)	(-1.072.598)
underestimation	1514.891***	2485.747***
	(-334.379)	(-3/3.024)

Fuel eff (old) -517.553 overestimation (-589.226) underestimation -351.331 (.452.117)

Miles driven

esults

overestimation

underestimation

Monthly cost (new)

overestimation

underestimation

Observations

607

710

710

(-453.117)

607

705

603

-455.53-423.413(488.43)(-505.184)1348.2***344.985(-395.498)(-454.655)666574

5386./19***	4404.031***
(-454.043)	-500.419
-493.011	-319.356
(-336.759)	(-356.538)

1 10 1 00 1 stoksk

Measures: mental accounting

1. *Depreciation costs*

Q25. Please now estimate the average monthly cost of your current primary car.

Q26. Which of the following costs have you considered in your cost estimation?
Monthly depreciation of original purchase cost
Monthly operating cost (fuels, motor oil, battery charging)
Monthly cost through insurance and taxes

- Monthly repair costOther [Specify]

2. <u>Car budget</u>

Does your household have a "car budget", i.e. a fixed amount of money or share of your income assigned to car-related spending?

3. General mental accounting propensity

- I reserve money for specific expenses, such as food, clothing, transportation, etc.
 I never spend more than a certain amount on food, clothing, transportation, etc.
 If I spend more on one thing, I save on other expenses
 If I spend more than the usual amount on something in one month, I spend less on it the next month

mpy (new-old) mpy (new-old) mpy (new-old) mpy (new-old) mpy (new-old) mpy (new-old)

depreciation 229.144 604.52 (-477.488) (-484.955)

car budget	668.082	277.506
	(-492.396)	(-500.693)

general prop.					265.573 (-183.805)	-110.854 (-195.613)
Observations	710	607	710	607	710	607

Measures: pro-environmental preferences

1. <u>Self-reported values</u>

Thinking on the way you use your current primary car, how much do you agree with each of the following?

- I feel personally obliged to reduce the number of car trips in order to limit my impact on the environment
 I feel guilty for the environment when I use my car a lot for short distance trips
 Many people who are important to me reduce their number of car trips to limit their impact on the environment
- Many people who are important to me expect me to reduce the number of my car trips in order to limit my impact on the environment

Thinking on decision to buy your current primary car, how much do you agree with each of the following sentences?

2. <u>Self-reported pro-environmental habits</u>

How often do you undertake any of the following actions?
Purchase second-hand products, such as clothes or furniture
Reduce indoor temperature and instead put on warmer clothes
Keep car tires at the right pressure to minimize fuel use

-(10 in total)

Measures: moral licensing

1. <u>Drop of values</u>

Values for BUYING - values for USING

- Thinking **on decision to buy** your current primary car, how much do you agree with each of the following sentences?
- Thinking **on the way you use** your current primary car, how much do you agree with each of the following?

2. <u>General moral licensing propensity</u>

- It does not matter how much energy you use if you are on a green energy tariff
- It is okay to drink bottled water if you limit the number of car journeys that you make
- Walking to the supermarket can compensate for buying highly packaged food
- Not driving a car compensates for flying on holiday
- Flying abroad can be made up for by being a vegetarian (i.e., not eating meat)

		mpy (new-old)	mpy (new-old)	mpy (new-old)	mpy (new-old)	mpy (new-old)	mpy (new-old)	mpy (new-old)	mpy (new-old)
	values	-317.886** (157.359)	-206.901 (162.655)						
ults	peb habits			813.529** (347.308)	613.604* (358.589)				
Cest	value drop					112.762 (190.125)	-17.537 (202.525)		
	ML index							-287.205 (261.982)	-480.483* (278.787)
	Observations	710	607	710	607	710	607	710	607

Conclusions

Inattention/ Miscalculations increases direct rebound Mental accounting does not Not clear role for pro-environmental preferences

Overall, argument for policy intervention correcting "misperceptions"

